

LUCAS® 3 Chest Compression System

System values



The LUCAS Chest Compression system provides benefits to cardiac arrest patients by delivering Guideline-consistent, high-quality chest compressions even under difficult conditions and for extended periods of time. The device allows you and your team to work more efficiently without having to compromise on your own safety.

Improved outcomes

- Contributes to increased survival rates in systems of care¹
- As safe and effective as high-quality CPR in large randomised trial with >99% good neurological outcomes²

Improved hemodynamics

- Greater blood flow to brain and heart^{3,4,5}
- Higher EtCO₂ values indicative of higher chance of ROSC⁶

Improved CPR metrics

- More consistent quality (depth and rate)^{7,8,9}
- Fewer interruptions^{10,11}

Reduce event stress

- Eliminates focus on 'who's next for compressions?'
- Focus on treating underlying condition

Do more with less

- Can provide high-quality care even when short-staffed
- More efficient use of resources

Provides CPR guidance and data for feedback

- Ventilation alerts
- Post-event data reporting

Facilitates extended resuscitations

- Chest compression quality is not limited by fatigue
- Published multi-hour successful resuscitations

High-quality CPR during transport

- Improved CPR quality^{7,8}
- Fewer interruptions¹⁰
- Patient transfer without impacting CPR

Bridge to PCI or ECMO

- Extend reach of care with consistent and high-quality compressions
- Facilitates ECMO/PCI and allows for treatment of the underlying cause during CPR


Facilitates safer transport

- Rescuers can avoid awkward and potentially dangerous situations when performing CPR during patient transport

Reduce work-related injuries

- Reduce body strain during extended resuscitations
- Provide high-quality CPR in awkward physical conditions

Reduce X-ray exposure of CPR provider during PCI



Industry-Leading Partner

At Stryker we not only offer the best solutions for your needs, we also ensure you have the best resources and support to implement and maintain our solutions.



SERVICE

We offer comprehensive support to fit your needs.



CLINICAL TRAINING

We provide comprehensive training to establish and maintain quality clinical practices.



LEGACY

As the industry pioneer in defibrillation, mechanical chest compression and external pacing, we continue to innovate products to evolve patient care.



FINANCE

We offer numerous payment structures that can be customised to meet budgetary needs and help to build long-term financial stability.

References

- 1 Sporer K, Jacobs M, Derevin L, et al. Continuous quality improvement efforts increase survival with favorable neurologic outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2016;14:1-6.
- 2 Rubertsson S, Lindgren E, Smekal D, et al. Mechanical chest compressions and simultaneous defibrillation vs conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: The LINC Randomised Trial. *JAMA*. 2014;311:53-6.
- 3 Carmona Jiménez F, Padró PP, García AS, et al. Cerebral flow improvement during CPR with LUCAS, measured by Doppler. *Resuscitation*. 2011; 82S1:30,AP090. [This study is also published in a longer version, in Spanish language with English abstract, in *Emergencias*. 2012;24:47-49].
- 4 Larsen A, Hjørnevik A, Bonarjee V, et al. Coronary blood flow and perfusion pressure during coronary angiography in patients with ongoing mechanical chest compression: A report on 6 cases. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81:493-497.
- 5 Wagner H, Madsen Hardig B, Harnek J, et al. Aspects on resuscitation in the coronary interventional catheter laboratory. *Circulation*. 2010;122:A91 (+ Poster on file at Physio-Control).
- 6 Axelsson C, Karlsson T, Axelsson ÅB, et al. Mechanical active compression-decompression cardiopulmonary resuscitation (ACDCPR) versus manual CPR according to pressure of end tidal carbon dioxide (PETCO2) during CPR in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA). *Resuscitation*. 2009;80(10):1099-103.
- 7 Putzer G, Braun P, Zimmerman A, et al. LUCAS compared to manual cardiopulmonary resuscitation is more effective during helicopter rescue – a prospective, randomised, cross-over manikin study. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2013 Feb;31(2):384-9.
- 8 Gyory R, Buchle S, Rodgers D, et al. The efficacy of LUCAS in prehospital cardiac arrest scenarios: A crossover mannequin study. *West J Emerg Med*. 2017;18(3):437-445.
- 9 Wyss CA, Fox J, Franzeck F, et al. Mechanical versus manual chest compression during CPR in a cardiac catheterisation setting. *Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2010;13(3):92-96 (<http://www.cardiovascular-medicine.ch/pdf/2010/2010-03/2010-03-005.PDF>).
- 10 Olasveengen TM, Wik L, Steen PA. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation before and during transport in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2008;76(2):185-90.
- 11 Maule Y. The aid of mechanical CPR; better compressions, but more importantly – more compressions... (translated from French language; Assistance Cardiaque Externe; Masser mieux, mais surtout masser plus...). *Urgence Pratique*. 2011;106:47-48.

Physio-Control is now part of Stryker.

For further information please contact your local Stryker or Physio-Control representative or visit our website at www.physio-control.com

Physio-Control Headquarters

11811 Willows Road NE
Redmond, WA 98052 USA
Tel 425 867 4000
www.physio-control.com

Stryker European Operations B.V.

Herikerbergweg 110
1101 CM Amsterdam
Netherlands
Tel +31 (0)43 3620008
Fax +31 (0)43 3632001

Stryker UK Ltd

Stryker House
Hambridge Road
Newbury, Berkshire
RG14 5AW
United Kingdom

Stryker Australia Pty Ltd

8 Herbert Street
St Leonards NSW 2065
Australia
Toll Free Tel 1800 987 982
Toll Free Fax 1800 890 892